INTER-ISLAND MOVEMENTS OF TWO BARBARY FALCON (Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides) JUVENILES IN THE CANARY ISLANDS
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ABSTRACT.—We studied the post-fledging movement of two sibling (male and female) juvenile Barbary Falcons (Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides) hatched in Lanzarote (Canary Islands) in 2011 using satellite tracking. Birds were tracked from fledging in May to the end of November, when the signals of both transmitters were lost. During the first 45 days following fledging, both birds behaved similarly and made some flights <70 km away from their nest, on the island where they hatched. However, after the dependence period, we found differences between the individuals. The female made several inter-island movements among the islands of the central archipelago (Gran Canaria, Tenerife and La Gomera) and returned to Lanzarote in October. In contrast, the male stayed close to his natal nest (<100 km) until the end of October, when he was detected in the middle of the Atlantic Ocean >3000 km from the nest, probably using a ship as a perch.

Because Barbary Falcon populations of the Canary Islands are listed as “threatened” due to anthropogenic threats (e.g. collisions with human-made structures, illegal shooting, nest-robbing, and hybridization with escaped falconry falcons) and little is known about their post-fledging dispersal movements, more studies of such life-history characteristics, using ringing and remote sensing, are urgently needed to develop management actions for their conservation.
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MOVIMIENTOS ENTRE ISLAS DE DOS JUVENILES DE Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides EN LAS ISLAS CANARIAS

Resumen.—Estudiamos el movimiento post-emplumamiento de dos juveniles hermanos (macho y hembra) de Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides que nacieron en Lanzarote (Islas Canarias) en 2011, usando telemetría satelital. Las aves fueron seguidas desde el emplumamiento en mayo hasta fines de noviembre, cuando se perdió la señal de ambos transmisores. Durante los primeros 45 días tras el emplumamiento, ambas aves se
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comportaron de modo similar y realizaron algunos vuelos de <70 km desde sus nidos, adentro de la isla en donde nacieron. Sin embargo, tras el período de dependencia, encontramos diferencias entre los individuos. La hembra realizó varios movimientos entre las islas del archipiélago central (Gran Canaria, Tenerife y La Gomera) y regresó a Lanzarote en octubre. Por el contrario, el macho permaneció cerca de su nido de nacimiento (<100 km) hasta fines de octubre, cuando fue detectado en medio del Océano Atlántico a >3000 km del nido, probablemente usando un barco como posadero de caza. Debido a que las poblaciones de *F. p. pelegrinoides* de las Islas Canarias están catalogadas como “amenazadas” por causa de amenazas antropogénicas (e.g. colisiones con estructuras antrópicas, caza ilegal, robo de nidos e hibridación con halcones de cetrería), y a que se sabe poco sobre sus movimientos de dispersión post-emplumamiento, se necesitan con urgencia más estudios sobre estas características de la historia de vida, usando anillamiento y teledetección, para desarrollar acciones de manejo para su conservación.

**Post-fledging behavior and movements have important implications for spatial population structure, survival, and gene flow within raptor populations (Bullock et al. 2002). Three distinct phases during juveniles’ first years of life can be distinguished, namely, the dependence period, the departure from the parental territory, and the settlement in areas of first breeding (Wiens et al. 2006, Cadahía et al. 2010). For long-lived raptor species, the first several months after fledging are critical for its survival and the time elapsed between departure from the natal site and settlement in the area of first breeding may be several years (Newton 1979). Therefore, gathering precise species-specific information on these phases is important for developing management and conservation measures such as the designation of protected areas (Prugnolle et al. 2003, Balbontín and Ferrer 2009, Dzialak et al. 2009, Yama¸c and Bilgin 2012, Balotari-Chiebao et al. 2016).

The Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) is one of the most well-known and well-studied birds of the world (Cade et al. 1988, Ratcliffe 1993, Sicielki and Mizera 2009, White et al. 2013). A total of 18 recognized subspecies occurs in the world; northern populations are generally migratory, while southern and insular populations tend to be sedentary (White et al. 2013). Most published data regarding movements and dispersal patterns of juvenile Peregrine Falcons describe patterns of continental populations of North America or Europe. Furthermore, the majority of such studies are based on direct observations or ring recoveries (Sherrod 1983, Mearns and Newton 1984, Powell et al. 2002, Dzialak et al. 2005, 2009, Zuberogoitia et al. 2009, Dennhardt and Wakamiya 2013, Faccio et al. 2013). Following fledging, the Peregrine Falcon juveniles depend on their parents for a period of time, developing flight and hunting abilities. After that, young disperse from their natal site until they settle in a breeding territory (Sherrod 1983, Monneret 2000).

The Barbary Falcon (*F. p. pelegrinoides*), considered by some authors an independent species, inhabits areas from northwestern Africa (including the Canary Islands, the westernmost portion of the breeding range) to the Middle East; furthermore, Barbary Falcon populations are considered sedentary or nonmigratory (Ferguson-Lees and Christie 2001, Rodríguez et al. 2009, White et al. 2013). In the Canary Islands, Barbary Falcon numbers have increased over the last two decades, from seven territories in 1990 to 180 in 2017, and such increases have been attributed to concomitant legal protection and increased prey availability (Rodríguez et al. 2009, Siverio et al. 2009, B. Rodríguez unpubl. data). However, these falcons still face several threats to their survival and reproduction, including collisions with human-made structures, illegal shooting, nest-robbing, and hybridization with escaped falconry falcons (Rodríguez et al. 2009, 2010). Such issues have culminated in the Barbary Falcon being listed as “Endangered” in the Spanish National and Regional catalogs of threatened species (Siverio and Concepción 2004).

Although some aspects of the Barbary Falcon breeding biology and habitat selection have been studied in the Canaries (Delgado et al. 1999, Rodríguez and Siverio 2006, Rodríguez et al. 2007, Siverio et al. 2011), specific studies on its ecology are scarce in the entire breeding range, and little is known about post-fledging movements (Rodríguez et al. 2009, White et al. 2013). Here, we describe the post-fledging movements of two Canarian Barbary Falcon juveniles using satellite tracking (Meyburg and Fuller 2007). The main goals of this preliminary study were (1) to investigate the range used by juvenile falcons as they disperse from their natal area, and (2) to determine the use of other nearby islands as dispersal sites. We also discuss the implications for the conservation of this endangered raptor.

**Methods**

**Study Area and Species.** The Canary Islands are a volcanic archipelago located about 100 km off the Atlantic coast of northwest Africa (27°37’–29°25’N and 13°20’–18°19’W) that comprises seven major islands. Lanzarote Island, located in the northeast of the Canarian archipelago (Fig. 1, 2), is dominated by a flat landscape and barren volcanic ground. The breeding population of Barbary Falcon on Lanzarote is resident and currently numbers approximately 20 breeding pairs, which nest on high cliffs.
when they are available (Rodríguez et al. 2009, Siverio et al. 2009). They feed mainly on feral Rock Pigeons (Columba livia), but also prey on other small to medium-sized resident and migratory birds (Rodríguez et al. 2009).

**Satellite Tracking.** On 29 April 2011, we deployed two 12-g solar-powered PTTs (Microwave Telemetry, Inc., Columbia, MD, USA) on two 25–30-d-old sibling nestlings (from a brood of three). Their natal eyrie was located on a rock face on a small crater in Timanfaya National Park (Lanzarote). We used climbing equipment to reach the nest and capture the nestlings. The sexual size dimorphism of Barbary Falcons allowed us to visually sex the birds (White et al. 2013; Table 1). We used backpack harnesses made of Teflon ribbon to attach the PTTs. The PTTs weighed 3% of the body mass of the tagged birds (Table 1), and were programmed to transmit for 12 hr and turn off for a 48-hr recharging period. Both devices stopped recording data during November 2011 for unknown reasons (Table 1).

**Data Processing and Analysis.** Locations of falcons were downloaded from the ARGOS website (http://www.argos-system), which provides locations with an associated estimate of accuracy (location class, LC) based on the quality of the signal. Location class is divided into seven categories (in descending order of accuracy 3, 2, 1, 0, A, B, Z). Argos suggests estimated accuracies of <150, 150–350, 350–1000 and >1000 m for LC 3, 2, 1, and 0, respectively (Meyburg and Fuller 2007). For A and B location class categories there are no estimates of location accuracy. We did not obtain locations of class 3 and 2, suggesting some interference with the frequency used by ARGOS satellites, which has been noted in the Mediterranean basin, rather than a malfunctioning of the devices (Soutullo et al. 2007). All locations, except class Z, were filtered to remove incorrect or impossible positions (such as those suggesting travel speeds of >125 km/hr, see Enderson and Craig 1997); this process retained up to 85% of the original locations (see Table 1). We calculated the distance from each location to the nest using QGIS version 2.18 (Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project, http://qgis.osgeo.org), and classified the locations as occurring during the dependence period (May and June, Sherrod 1983), or the dispersal period (after June). We used U-tests for independent samples to compare mean distances (from bird locations to the nest) between siblings during the dependence phase (May–mid-June).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

After filtering, we retained a total of 1213 usable locations for both juveniles from May to November 2011 (Table 1). During the dependence phase, both falcons made short movements, and distances from the nest were...
similar for both birds (mean ± SD for female = 16.4 ± 14.4 km [$n = 134$] and for male = 14.7 ± 12.6 km [$n = 120$]; $U = 8737$, $P = 0.154$; Fig. 3). During this time, the juveniles were likely provisioned by their parents and thus remained on Lanzarote, although they presumably also learned to hunt prior to dispersing from the natal site (Sherrod 1983, Fig. 1–3). Both birds flew up to 70 km from their nest during this phase (Fig. 3). The boundaries of the island may have limited their initial flights during this dependence period, as the distance they traveled from the nest was much less than the $>300$ km reached by some male juvenile Peregrine Falcons in continental areas during the first month after fledging (Zuberogoitia et al. 2009).

During the dispersal phase, the two birds behaved differently. The female made several inter-island movements, visiting Gran Canaria, Tenerife, and La Gomera.

Figure 2. Dispersal of a male (PTT-71902) Barbary Falcon (Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides) that fledged from Timanfaya National Park, Lanzarote, Canary Islands (see location details in Table 1; see Fig. 1 for legend).
In contrast, the male made approximately nine short excursions to the north of Fuerteventura; during the first fortnight of July he moved to the southern portion of Lanzarote and remained on the island until the end of October; on 30 October he was detected on the Atlantic Ocean, and on the first 6 d of November, transmitter signals indicated that he was 3000 km from Lanzarote in the middle of the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 2). We do not know why he traveled so far from the Canary Islands; however, we cannot rule out that
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Table 1. Tracking period and number of locations retained after filtering (see details in the main text) for two Barbary Falcon (*Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides*) juveniles PTT-tagged in 2011 on Lanzarote, Canary Islands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIRD</th>
<th>PTT</th>
<th>MASS</th>
<th>TRACKING PERIOD 2011</th>
<th>NUMBER OF DAYS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF LOCATIONS (LC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WITH LOCATIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>71835</td>
<td>920 g</td>
<td>2 May–20 November</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>386 14 64 121 585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>71902</td>
<td>600 g</td>
<td>2 May–6 November</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>435 9 64 120 628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Distances to the natal nest of Barbary Falcon (*Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides*) juveniles fledged from Timanfaya National Park, Lanzarote, Canary Islands: top: female PTT 71835; bottom: male PTT 71902.
Table 2. Summary of inter-island movement of the female Barbary Falcon (Falco peregrinus pelegrinoides) juvenile PTT-tagged from May to November 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Movement Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 May</td>
<td>Tagged with PTT at nest on Lanzarote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>Flew from Lanzarote to Gran Canaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June</td>
<td>Flew from Gran Canaria to Tenerife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 June</td>
<td>Flew to La Gomera and returned to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tenerife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 September</td>
<td>Flew to La Gomera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 September</td>
<td>Was on Tenerife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 September</td>
<td>Flew from Tenerife to Gran Canaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 September</td>
<td>Flew from Gran Canaria to Lanzarote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 September</td>
<td>Flew to Gran Canaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 October</td>
<td>Flew to Lanzarote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 November</td>
<td>PTT lost signal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

he used a vessel as a perch (see Whittington 2014, Stabile et al. 2016).

During their first long-distance dispersal flights, both birds flew toward the southwest (Fig. 1, 2). It is possible that the prevailing Canary Islands winds, dominated by humid trade winds from the northeast (Barton et al. 1998, Calero and Carta 2004), influenced their dispersal direction. Previous work suggests an age-dependent difference in the ability to compensate for wind drift among migratory raptors, a potential challenge for juvenile birds (Thorup et al. 2003). Another possible influence that we cannot rule out is that juvenile falcons can see the summits of the western islands (with peaks higher than 1500 masl) from Lanzarote but not the low-altitude coast of West Africa, and this may serve as a visual stimulus for movement.

Female juvenile Peregrine Falcons typically disperse farther than males (Mears and Newton 1984, Zuberogotía et al. 2009, Dennhardt and Wakamiya 2013). The wandering behavior of the female we tracked across the archipelago and to several islands agrees with the general pattern of sex-specific differences in dispersal. Although we could not determine recruitment in our study, the ability of the two young falcons to reach other islands suggests juvenile Barbary Falcons may settle on islands other than their natal one. As a result, the Canarian archipelago may host a metapopulation of Barbary Falcons. This underscores the need for organization among insular governments to undertake inter-regional conservation measures targeting the nonbreeding fraction of Barbary Falcons.

Because of the small sample size sometimes associated with satellite tracking, an intensive monitoring program (using ringing together with remote tracking) in the entire archipelago could help elucidate the population ecology of the Barbary Falcon. For example, we do not know why the proportion of breeding falcons expressing the “Barbary” phenotype seems to be declining in favor of the typical peregrine type, resulting in a mixture of phenotypes (Rodríguez et al. 2011, B. Rodríguez unpubl. data). There are two non-mutually exclusive possible explanations of the origin of these “typical peregrine” individuals: a natural colonization from the nearby mainland wild populations of Peregrine Falcon (F. p. brookii), or hybridization with escaped falconry birds (B. Rodríguez unpubl. data). A better understanding of dispersion ecology of Canarian and North African falcon populations will help managers develop appropriate conservation strategies.

Given the recovery of the species during the last 30 yr expanding from the eastern islands (Lanzarote and Fuerteventura) to the rest of the archipelago, researchers suspected inter-island movements might explain such population increase (Rodríguez et al. 2009). The satellite tracking technology employed in our preliminary study has revealed multiple inter-island movements of juvenile Barbary Falcons before recruitment into a breeding population, suggesting that Canarian Barbary Falcons are a meta-population in which falcons hatched on one island might eventually breed on another. Because gathering information on the foraging and dispersal areas of juvenile falcons is important for identifying potential threats, such as collisions with human-made infrastructures, persecution, or poisoning, our preliminary study should help inform the design of future research.
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